



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/912,570

07/24/2001

Roberto DeLima

RSW9-2000-0124-US1

5486

58505 7590 06/16/2008  
STEVENS & SHOWALTER, L.L.P.  
BOX IBM  
7019 CORPORATE WAY  
DAYTON, OH 45459-4238

EXAMINER

PHILLIPS, HASSAN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2151

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/16/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/912,570	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DELIMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HASSAN PHILLIPS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2151	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HASSAN PHILLIPS. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) TOM LEES. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all claims in general, specifically claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Romero and Miller.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed proposed amendments and explained why applicant believes the amendments distinguish over the teachings of the prior art. Examiner agreed the amendments will further prosecution and appear to distinguish over the teachings of the prior art for the rationale provided by applicant's representative. Nevertheless, examiner advised further consideration and/or search for the proposed amendments will be necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hassan Phillips/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2151

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required